

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/618,035	Applicant(s) PRABHAKAR ET AL.	
	Examiner KEVIN S. MAI	Art Unit 2456	

All Participants:

(1) KEVIN S. MAI.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After Non-Final

(3) Aly Dossa (reg. no. 63372).

(4) _____.

Time: _____

/Kevin S Mai/
Examiner, Art Unit 2456

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked applicant to more clearly explain their invention in view of the submitted amendments. Applicant's explained their invention and walked the examiner through a sample scenario. Applicant's are thanked for their time and their clear responses to the examiner's inquiry.